UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

l	APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
•	10/538,178	06/09/2005	Kanji Hata	P28003	1741	
		7590 04/13/2007 [& BERNSTEIN, P.L.C.		ЕХАМ	INER	
	1950 ROLAND	CLARKE PLACE		ROSS, DANA	DANA	
	RESTON, VA	20191		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				3722		
_						
l	SHORTENED STATUTOR	Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE	NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVER	DELIVERY MODE	
•	3 MO	NTUS	04/13/2007	FLECT	EL ECTRONIC	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

Notice of this Office communication was sent electronically on the above-indicated "Notification Date" and has a shortened statutory period for reply of 3 MONTHS from 04/13/2007.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

gbpatent@gbpatent.com pto@gbpatent.com

•	•		l
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/538,178	HATA ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Dana Ross	3722	
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REWHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by so Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the nearned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	G DATE OF THIS COMMUN R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a n. eriod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO tatute, cause the application to become A	CATION. reply be timely filed NTHS from the mailing date of this communication BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			•
1) ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>1</u> 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐	6 March 2007. This action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allo		ters, prosecution as to the merits is	,
closed in accordance with the practice und	•	•	
Disposition of Claims			
4) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-3</u> is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>3</u> is/are withdraw 5) □ Claim(s) <u>1</u> is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1</u> is/are rejected. 7) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>2</u> is/are objected to.	n from consideration.		
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction ar Application Papers	id/or election requirement.		
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Exam 10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on 09 June 2005 is/are Applicant may not request that any objection to Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the col 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the	e: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ obje the drawing(s) be held in abeya rrection is required if the drawing	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d	().
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docum 2. Certified copies of the priority docum 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority docum application from the International Bu * See the attached detailed Office action for a	nents have been received. nents have been received in A priority documents have beer reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No received in this National Stage	
Attachment(s) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		Summary (PTO-413)	
 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>9/7/05</u>. 		s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application 	

Application/Control Number: 10/538,178

Art Unit: 3722

Election/Restrictions

Page 2

1. Applicant's election with traverse of Group I, claims 1 and 2, in the reply filed on 16 March 2007, is acknowledged. The traversal is on the ground(s) that all claims in the application are linked to form a single inventive concept. This is not found persuasive because the features of independent claim 1 are drawn towards a tool change device, whereas the features of independent claim 3 are drawn to a tool. Neither claim 1, nor claim 3, require the special features of the other independent invention, and therefore are not linked to form a single inventive concept, but instead define two distinct inventions.

The requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL.

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Claim 3 is withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a nonelected invention, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Applicant timely traversed the restriction (election) requirement in the reply filed on 16 March 2007.

Application/Control Number: 10/538,178 Page 3

Art Unit: 3722

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

2. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

3. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the

to particularly point out and albimony oftann the subject matter which approach regards as the

invention.

Claim 1, lines 2-6, present limitations to a "tool" of the tool exchange device. Line 7,

further places a limit on tool exchange device with "a tool". It is not clear if the claims are a

literal translation into English from a foreign document and are replete with grammatical and

idiomatic errors, or if there is a lack of antecedent basis. It appears that line 7 should read "the

tool", but its not clear.

Claim 1, line 5, recites the limitation "the lower ends". There is insufficient antecedent

basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim 1, lines 10, 13 and 15 use the term "its". It is not clear what is being referred to as

with the term. Examiner recommends replaces all "its" with the appropriate structure for clarity.

Art Unit: 3722

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

Page 4

4. The following is a quotation of 3.5 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over US Pat. No. 6,334,840 (Asai, et al., hereafter '840).

'840 teaches a nozzle tool 84 (see figure 3, for example) with an electric component (EC) 86 with a mounting system 10 with two EC transferring and mounting apparatuses 20 and 22 (see col. 10, lines 59-67, for example); the nozzle tool 84 with an adapter 100 with L-shaped sections having (see area of reference number 124 of figure 3) a vertical restriction surface and diametrically (diametrally) opposing and parallel to each other and horizontal engagement surfaces directed radially outwardly from lower ends of both sides of a main body portion 132/136 of the nozzle tool 84, the adapter being part of the main body portion (see figure 3, for example); an engagement member 102 provided around a holding portion (see area between 102 in figure 3) that places and holds a tool for exchange, the engagement member 102 having engagement surfaces for the engagement member and holding portion (see figure 3).

'840 teaches the engagement member as an integral piece and does not expressly disclose the engagement member with a first and second side. Examiner notes that it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to make the holding portion 102 of two parts instead of a solid part, since it has been held that forming in two parts an article which has formerly been formed integrally involves only routine skill in the art.

Application/Control Number: 10/538,178 Page 5

Art Unit: 3722

In this instance, it is well known in the art to have means to assemble and disassemble parts for the purpose of repair and the use of an integral member does not change the structural features of the invention.

Allowable Subject Matter

6. Claim 2 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Dana Ross whose telephone number is 571-272-4480. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Thurs.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Monica Carter can be reached on 571-272-4475. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 3722

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

> Dana Ross Examiner

Page 6

Art Unit 3722